

**REXBURG, IDAHO, JUNE 10, 2026, AT 10:00 A.M.**

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

**In the Matter of the Estate of Deborah** )  
**Bowen, Deceased.** )  
----- )  
**TRAVIS LYLE BOWEN, in his capacity as** )  
**Personal Representative of the Estate of** )  
**Deborah Bowen,** )  
 )  
**Plaintiff-Appellant,** )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
**DANA PENROD, individually, as Trustee or** )  
**Former Trustee of the LYLE BOWEN** )  
**TRUST, and as Personal Representative of** )  
**the ESTATE OF LYLE BOWEN,** )  
 )  
**Defendant-Respondent on Appeal,** )  
 )  
**and** )  
 )  
**VICTORIA LYNN CALL, SHAYNE** )  
**MARTELL BOWEN, and TANYA** )  
**CLEMENT,** )  
 )  
**Defendants.** )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**Docket No. 52886**

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District of the State of Idaho, Bonneville County. Dane H. Watkins, Jr., District Judge.

Hawley Troxell Ennis & Hawley LLP, Boise; and Wright Law Offices, PLLC, Idaho Falls, for Appellant.

Kirton McConkie, Idaho Falls; and Merrill & Merrill, Chartered, Pocatello for Respondent Dana Penrod.

\_\_\_\_\_

This appeal concerns a dispute between siblings regarding the estate of their late sister, Deborah Bowen. Following Deborah’s death, her brother, Travis Bowen, filed a petition to open probate and was appointed as the personal representative. Within the same timeframe, Travis and Deborah’s father, Lyle Bowen, passed away. Their sister, Dana Penrod, was appointed personal representative for Lyle’s estate and trustee of the Lyle Bowen Trust. Deborah was living with their father at the time of her passing.

In his capacity as personal representative, Travis filed a petition in Deborah's probate proceeding and alleged that Penrod sold Deborah's property in an auction sale of Lyle's property. Travis sought to have the property, or its value, returned to Deborah's estate. Penrod denied selling Deborah's property. Travis and Penrod stipulated to dismiss Travis' petition to recover the property.

Two years later, Travis filed a complaint in the probate proceeding on behalf of Deborah's estate against Penrod. He again alleged that Penrod had sold Deborah's property and sought to have Penrod return to the value of the property to the estate. Penrod responded with a motion to dismiss under Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), arguing that Travis' claims were barred by the applicable statute of limitation under Idaho Code section 5-218(3). The magistrate court agreed with Penrod and dismissed the complaint with prejudice. Travis appealed to the district court, which affirmed the magistrate court's decision.

Travis appealed the district court's intermediate appellate decision to the Idaho Supreme Court, arguing the lower courts erred because his claims were timely. He argues the lower courts used the wrong date when determining when his cause of action accrued and applied the wrong statute of limitation.