

COEUR D'ALENE, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2026, AT 8:45 A.M.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

**ROBIN LANTRIP, a widow; ROBIN A.
LANTRIP AS TRUSTEE OF THE LARRY
J. LANTRIP AND ROBIN A. LANTRIP
REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST,**

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

**MATTHEW BARTON and ANGELA
BARTON, husband and wife,**

Defendants-Respondents.

Docket No. 52816

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District of the State of Idaho,
Bonner County. Lamont C. Berecz, District Judge.

Amy Clemmons, Sandpoint, for Appellant.

Featherston Law Firm, Chtd., Sandpoint, for Respondents.

Robin Lantrip appeals the district court's quiet title judgment and award of attorney fees in favor of Matthew and Angela Barton. This action stems from the Bartons seeking to enforce a settlement agreement entered into with Lantrip regarding a real property boundary line dispute. The Bartons claimed that all terms of the settlement agreement had been satisfied and asked the district court to enter a judgment to quiet title and dismiss all remaining claims in the action pursuant to the agreement. Lantrip argued that the Bartons failed to sign and enter numerous documents that had been stipulated to under the terms of the settlement agreement.

The district court entered a judgment to quiet title and dismissed all remaining claims with prejudice upon determining that all terms of the agreement had been satisfied. The district court also denied Lantrip's motion for a protective order and motion to stay while awarding the Bartons attorney fees and sanctioning Lantrip's counsel. On appeal, Lantrip contends that the district court (1) erred in interpreting the terms of the settlement agreement and ruling that the terms had been satisfied under Idaho law, (2) erred in denying Lantrip's motion for a protective order, (3) abused its discretion in awarding attorney fees and costs to the Bartons, and (4) abused its discretion in sanctioning Lantrip's counsel. The Bartons raise additional issues of whether (1) Lantrip properly cited to the record in her briefing and (2) whether this Court has jurisdiction to hear Lantrip's appeal of the quiet title judgment.