

BOISE, FRIDAY, MAY 8, 2026, AT 8:45 A.M.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IDAHO INJURY LAWYERS, PA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 and)
)
 JEREMY LITSTER,)
)
 Aggrieved Party-Appellant,)
)
 v.)
)
 LITSTER FROST INJURY LAWYERS,)
 PLLC; MARTHA LAUREL FROST aka)
 LAURIE LITSTER FROST; DAN JENKINS,)
 PAUL SWAINSTON, and EVAN)
 MORTIMER,)
)
 Defendants-Respondents.)

Docket No. 52168

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, Ada County. Cynthia Yee-Wallace, District Judge.

Jeremy Litster, Boise, Pro Se Appellant.

Hawley Troxell Ennis & Hawley, LLP, Boise, for Respondents.

This appeal involves the equitable doctrine of piercing the corporate veil. In November 2022, Idaho Injury Lawyers (“IIL”) brought claims of conversion and unjust enrichment against Lister Frost Injury Lawyers, PLLC (“Lister Frost”), Martha Laurel Frost aka Laurie Lister Frost, Dan Jenkins, Paul Swainston, and Evan Mortimer (collectively the “Respondents”). After several years of costly litigation, the case proceeded to a bench trial. At the close of IIL’s case-in-chief, the district court dismissed IIL’s claims with prejudice and awarded the Respondents attorney fees and costs. The Respondents then filed a motion to pierce the corporate veil and hold Jeremy Lister (“Jeremy”) personally liable for the attorney fees and costs, which the court granted on August 1, 2024.

On appeal, Jeremy argues that the district court: (1) abused its discretion by piercing the corporate veil after concluding that Jeremy and IIL had a unity of interest and ownership, and that an inequitable result would follow if the court treated the acts as acts of the company; (2) erred in awarding additional attorney fees and costs from post-judgment proceedings; and (3) denied him

due process by failing to give him enough time to properly defend the Respondents' motion and by denying his request for an evidentiary hearing.