IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 53075

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	
	)	**Filed: October 23, 2026**
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	
	)	**Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk**
v.	)	
	)	**THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
ISRAEL R. CURIEL GALINDO,	)	**OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
	)	**BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
Defendant-Appellant.	)	
	)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. George Southworth, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twelve years, with a minimum period of confinement of two and one-half years, for lewd conduct with a minor child under sixteen, affirmed.

Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before TRIBE, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;
and FLEMING, Judge

PER CURIAM

Israel R. Curiel Galindo was found guilty of lewd conduct with a minor child under sixteen. Idaho Code § 18-1508. The district court sentenced Galindo to a unified term of twelve years, with a minimum period of confinement of two and one-half years. Galindo appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Galindo's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.